

Procter
from Amtoft
from Hatcliff
from Leavens

A *recursive* function
follows the structure
of *inductively*-defined data.

With **lists** as our example, we shall study

1. **inductive** definitions (to specify data)
2. **recursive** functions (to process data)
3. frequent function **templates**

Inductive definition: Base element + some way of repeatedly modifying elements to produce new ones.

Recursive function: Function that calls itself repeatedly until it arrives at a base case.

Inductive Definitions

Specifications

Derivations

Recursive Functions

Patterns

Typical Templates

Map

Filter

Fold

Representing Sets

Equality Types

Association Lists

Option Types

Procter
from Amtoft
from Hatcliff
from Leavens

Extensional

$$\{n \mid n \text{ is a multiple of } 3\}$$
$$\{p \mid p \text{ has red hair}\}$$

- ▶ defined by giving characteristics
- ▶ **no** info about how to **generate** elements

Intensional Let S be the **smallest** set of natural numbers satisfying

1. $0 \in S$,
 2. $x + 3 \in S$ whenever $x \in S$.
- ▶ defined **inductively**
 - ▶ describes how to **generate** elements

Inductive Definitions

Specifications

Derivations

Recursive Functions

Patterns

Typical Templates

Map

Filter

Fold

Representing Sets

Equality Types

Association Lists

Option Types

Why require the *smallest* solution?

Let S be a set of natural numbers satisfying

1. $0 \in S$,
2. $x + 3 \in S$ whenever $x \in S$.

Which sets *satisfy* this specification?

- ▶ $\{0, 3, 6, 9, \dots\}$
- ▶ $\{0, 1, 3, 4, 6, 7, 9, 10, \dots\}$
- ▶ \dots

By choosing the *smallest* solution, we

- ▶ get *exactly* those elements explicitly generated by the specification
- ▶ we can give a *derivation* showing why each element belongs in the set.

Derivation of Set Elements

Procter
from Amtoft
from Hatcliff
from Leavens

Let S be the **smallest** set of natural numbers satisfying

1. $0 \in S$,
2. $x + 3 \in S$ whenever $x \in S$.

Example:

- ▶ $0 \in S$ (by rule 1)
- ▶ $3 \in S$ (by rule 2)
- ▶ $6 \in S$ (by rule 2)
- ▶ $9 \in S$ (by rule 2)

Non-example:

- ▶ 10

Letting set be defined as the smallest gives us **constructive** information about the set.

Inductive Definitions

Specifications

Derivations

Recursive Functions

Patterns

Typical Templates

Map

Filter

Fold

Representing Sets

Equality Types

Association Lists

Option Types

BNF Inductive Specifications

Integer lists:

$$\langle \text{int-list} \rangle ::= \text{nil} \mid \langle \text{int} \rangle :: \langle \text{int-list} \rangle$$

Example:

$$1 :: 2 :: 3 :: \text{nil} \equiv [1, 2, 3]$$

Derivation:

| | | |
|---------------|---|-------------|
| | <code>nil</code> is an <code><int-list></code> | (by rule 1) |
| \Rightarrow | <code>3 :: nil</code> is an <code><int-list></code> | (by rule 2) |
| \Rightarrow | <code>2 :: 3 :: nil</code> is an <code><int-list></code> | (by rule 2) |
| \Rightarrow | <code>1 :: 2 :: 3 :: nil</code> is an <code><int-list></code> | (by rule 2) |

Note:

- ▶ recursion in grammar
- ▶ each use of `::` increases list length by 1

Approximating Recursion

Grammar:

$\langle \text{int-list} \rangle ::= \text{nil} \mid \langle \text{int} \rangle :: \langle \text{int-list} \rangle$

We write a family of functions `list_sum_i`, with i the **length** of the argument:

Procter
from Amtoft
from Hatcliff
from Leavens

Inductive Definitions

Specifications
Derivations

Recursive Functions

Patterns

Typical Templates

Map
Filter
Fold

Representing Sets

Equality Types
Association Lists

Option Types

```
fun list_sum_0(ls) = 0;

fun list_sum_1(ls) =
  hd(ls) + list_sum_0(tl(ls));

fun list_sum_2(ls) =
  hd(ls) + list_sum_1(tl(ls));

fun list_sum_3(ls) =
  hd(ls) + list_sum_2(tl(ls));
...
- list_sum_3([1,2,3]);
val it = 6 : int
```

Putting It Together

We had

```
fun list_sum_0(ls) = 0;

fun list_sum_1(ls) =
  hd(ls) + list_sum_0(tl(ls));

fun list_sum_2(ls) =
  hd(ls) + list_sum_1(tl(ls));

fun list_sum_3(ls) =
  hd(ls) + list_sum_2(tl(ls));
...

```

Recursive function:

```
fun list_sum(ls) =
  if ls = nil
  then 0
  else hd(ls) + list_sum(tl(ls));

```

Procter
from Amtoft
from Hatcliff
from Leavens

Inductive Definitions

Specifications

Derivations

Recursive Functions

Patterns

Typical Templates

Map

Filter

Fold

Representing Sets

Equality Types

Association Lists

Option Types

Using Patterns

For the grammar

$$\langle \text{int-list} \rangle ::= \text{nil} \mid \langle \text{int} \rangle :: \langle \text{int-list} \rangle$$

we wrote

```
fun list_sum(ls) =  
  if ls = nil  
  then 0  
  else hd(ls) + list_sum(tl(ls));
```

but the correspondence is clearer by the [ML patterns](#)

```
fun list_sum(ls) =  
  case ls of  
    nil      => 0  
  | (n::ns) => n + list_sum(ns);
```

or even better

```
fun list_sum(nil)    = 0  
  | list_sum(n::ns) = n + list_sum(ns);
```


Recursion Template

Procter
from Amtoft
from Hatcliff
from Leavens

Data Structure directs Function Structure

Grammar:

```
<int-list> ::= nil | <int> :: <int-list>
```

Template:

```
fun list_rec(nil) = ....  
| list_rec(n::ns) = .... list_rec(ns).....;
```

Key points:

- ▶ for each case in BNF there is a case in function
- ▶ recursion occurs in function exactly where recursion occurs in BNF
- ▶ we may assume function “works” for sub-structures of the same type

Inductive Definitions

Specifications

Derivations

Recursive Functions

Patterns

Typical Templates

Map

Filter

Fold

Representing Sets

Equality Types

Association Lists

Option Types

Map, Filter, and Fold

Procter
from Amtoft
from Hatcliff
from Leavens

How can I...

Add one to each element of list?

```
fun list_inc(nil) = nil
| list_inc(n::ns) = (n+1)::list_inc(ns);
```

Select those elements greater than five?

```
fun gt_five(nil) = nil
| gt_five(n::ns) =
    if n > 5
    then n::gt_five(ns)
    else gt_five(ns);
```

Append two lists?

```
fun append(nil, l2) = l2
| append(n::ns, l2) = n::append(ns, l2);
```

Inductive Definitions

Specifications

Derivations

Recursive Functions

Patterns

Typical Templates

Map

Filter

Fold

Representing Sets

Equality Types

Association Lists

Option Types

Map

Procter
from Amtoft
from Hatcliff
from Leavens

Adding one to each element of list:

```
fun list_inc(nil) = nil  
| list_inc(n::ns) = (n+1)::list_inc(ns);
```

Generalization: apply **arbitrary** function to each element

```
fun list_map f nil = nil  
| list_map f (n::ns) =  
    f(n) :: list_map f ns;
```

Type of list_map:

```
fn : ('a -> 'b) -> 'a list -> 'b  
list
```

Instantiation: add one to each element

```
val my_list_inc = list_map (fn x => x + 1);
```

Instantiation: square each element

```
val square_list = list_map (fn x => x * x);
```

Inductive Definitions
Specifications
Derivations

Recursive Functions
Patterns

Typical Templates

Map
Filter
Fold

Representing Sets
Equality Types
Association Lists

Option Types

Procter
from Amtoft
from Hatcliff
from Leavens

Selecting only the elements greater than five:

```
fun gt_five(nil)      = nil
|   gt_five(n::ns)   =
      if n > 5 then n::gt_five(ns)
      else gt_five(ns);
```

Generalization: select using **arbitrary** predicate

```
fun list_filter p nil      = nil
|   list_filter p (n::ns) =
      if p(n) then n::list_filter p ns
      else list_filter p ns;
```

Type of `list_filter`:

```
('a -> bool) -> 'a list -> 'a list
```

Instantiation: select those greater than five

```
val my_gt_five = list_filter (fn n => n > 5);
```

Instantiation: select the even elements

```
val evens = list_filter (fn n => n mod 2 = 0);
```

Inductive Definitions

Specifications

Derivations

Recursive Functions

Patterns

Typical Templates

Map

Filter

Fold

Representing Sets

Equality Types

Association Lists

Option Types

Foldr

Procter
from Amtoft
from Hatcliff
from Leavens

“Folding” all elements up by adding them

```
fun list_sum (nil) = 0
| list_sum (n::ns) = n + list_sum (ns);
```

Generalization: fold in arbitrary way

```
fun foldr f e nil = e
| foldr f e (x::xs) = f(x,(foldr f e xs))
```

Type of foldr:

```
('a * 'b -> 'b) -> 'b -> 'a list
-> 'b
```

Instantiation: my_minuslist

```
fun my_minuslist xs = foldr op- 0 xs
```

Instantiation: my_identity

```
fun my_identity xs = foldr op:: nil xs
```

Instantiation: my_append

```
fun my_append xs ys = foldr op:: ys xs
```

Inductive Definitions

Specifications

Derivations

Recursive Functions

Patterns

Typical Templates

Map

Filter

Fold

Representing Sets

Equality Types

Association Lists

Option Types

Procter
from Amtoft
from Hatcliff
from Leavens

Recall `foldr`, processing input from **right**:

```
fun foldr f e nil = e
|   foldr f e (x::xs) = f(x,(foldr f e xs))
:   ('a * 'b -> 'b) -> 'b -> 'a list -> 'b
```

Now consider `foldl`, processing input from **left**:

```
fun foldl f e nil = e
|   foldl f e (x::xs) = foldl f (f(x,e)) xs
```

Type of `foldl`:

```
('a * 'b -> 'b) -> 'b -> 'a list
-> 'b
```

Example instantiation:

```
foldl op:: nil xs
```

which **reverses** a list.

Inductive Definitions

Specifications

Derivations

Recursive Functions

Patterns

Typical Templates

Map

Filter

Fold

Representing Sets

Equality Types

Association Lists

Option Types

Procter
from Amtoft
from Hatcliff
from Leavens

In summary...

- ▶ **Map** Apply an arbitrary function to each element and return the resulting list
- ▶ **Filter** Select elements from a list using an arbitrary predicate and return the resulting list
- ▶ **Fold** Reduce a list to a single element using an arbitrary function and an initial value

Inductive Definitions

Specifications

Derivations

Recursive Functions

Patterns

Typical Templates

Map

Filter

Fold

Representing Sets

Equality Types

Association Lists

Option Types

List Representation of Sets

Procter
from Amtoft
from Hatcliff
from Leavens

Sets may be represented as lists

- + easy to code
- ? with or without duplicates
- not optimal for big sets

Testing membership:

```
- member [3,6,8] 4;  
val it = false : bool  
- member [3,6,8] 6;  
val it = true : bool
```

Coding member:

```
fun member nil x = false  
| member (y::ys) x =  
    if x = y then true  
    else member ys x;
```

Inductive Definitions

Specifications

Derivations

Recursive Functions

Patterns

Typical Templates

Map

Filter

Fold

Representing Sets

Equality Types

Association Lists

Option Types

Equality Types

```
fun member nil x = false
| member (y::ys) x =
    if x = y then true
    else member ys x;
```

Type of member:

```
member = fn : 'a list -> 'a -> bool
```

Here double primes denotes an **equality type**.

```
- member [fn x => x+2, fn x => x+1]
  (fn x => x+1);
```

```
.. Error: operator and operand don't agree
   [equality type required]
   operator domain: 'Z list
   operand:         (int -> int) list
```

because functions **cannot** be tested for equality

Functions on Lists

Procter
from Amtoft
from Hatcliff
from Leavens

Inductive Definitions

Specifications
Derivations

Recursive Functions

Patterns

Typical Templates

Map
Filter
Fold

Representing Sets

Equality Types
Association Lists

Option Types

Set Operations

Procter
from Amtoft
from Hatcliff
from Leavens

Intersection:

```
fun intersect ([], ys) = []  
| intersect (x::xs, ys) =  
  if member ys x  
  then x :: intersect(xs, ys)  
  else intersect(xs, ys);
```

Type of intersection:

```
"a list * "a list -> "a list
```

Union, with type

```
"a list * "a list -> "a list
```

```
fun union ([], ys) = ys  
| union (x::xs, ys) =  
  if member ys x  
  then union (xs, ys)  
  else x :: union(xs, ys);
```

Inductive Definitions

Specifications

Derivations

Recursive Functions

Patterns

Typical Templates

Map

Filter

Fold

Representing Sets

Equality Types

Association Lists

Option Types

Removing Duplicates

Procter
from Amtoft
from Hatcliff
from Leavens

```
fun remove_dups [] = []  
| remove_dups (x::xs) =  
  if member xs x  
  then remove_dups xs  
  else x :: remove_dups xs;
```

with type "a list -> "a list

Inductive Definitions

Specifications
Derivations

Recursive Functions

Patterns

Typical Templates

Map
Filter
Fold

Representing Sets

Equality Types
Association Lists

Option Types

Association Lists

Procter
from Amtoft
from Hatcliff
from Leavens

We often want to associate **keys** with **values**. One way to do so is to maintain a list of pairs (key,value).

- + easy to code
- not optimal for big sets

We want to write a `lookup` function

Input an association list, and a key

Output the value corresponding to the key

```
fun lookup ((y,v)::ds) x =  
  if x = y then v  
  else lookup ds x  
| lookup nil x = ???
```

Inductive Definitions

Specifications

Derivations

Recursive Functions

Patterns

Typical Templates

Map

Filter

Fold

Representing Sets

Equality Types

Association Lists

Option Types

Variants of Lookup

We may need to go for some rather arbitrary value that signals **un**successful search:

```
fun lookup ((y,v)::ds) x =  
  if x = y then v  
  else lookup ds x  
| lookup nil x = ~1
```

Type of lookup:

```
("a * int) list -> "a -> int
```

We thus lose some polymorphism. Instead, we may write

```
fun lookup nil x = NONE  
| lookup ((y,v)::ds) x =  
  if x = y then SOME v  
  else lookup ds x
```

Type of lookup:

```
("a * 'b) list -> "a -> 'b option
```

Procter
from Amtoft
from Hatcliff
from Leavens

Optional types have. . .

- ▶ similar goals as **nullable** types
- ▶ but are **not** restricted to references.

Check out:

- ▶ This [StackExchange Explanation](#)
- ▶ Pages 111 - 113 of The Ullman textbook

Inductive Definitions

Specifications
Derivations

Recursive Functions

Patterns

Typical Templates

Map
Filter
Fold

Representing Sets

Equality Types
Association Lists

Option Types